

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,873	07/07/2004	Masahide Hayashi	05625.55095US	1173
7:	590 04/05/2006		EXAM	INER
Crowell & Moring			ALLEN, ANDRE J	
Intellectual Pro	perty Group			
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue N W			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20004-2595			2855	
		DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0
•

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/500,873	HAYASHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andre J. Allen	2855				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 January 2006. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 15-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1-1-94	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of species I in the reply filed on 1-12 o6 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 15,17,18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Miyazaki et al (JP 2001116639 A).

Regarding claims 15 and 18 Miyazaki et al teaches metallic terminals 4, a resin material 3 molded integrally (abstract)[0006] with said metallic terminals (claim 1), and a semiconductor sensor 1 (claim 1) and therefor a signal processing circuit 1 placed in said resin material 3, wherein an anaerobic adhesive 8 8a (interpreted as high permeability) is filled in gaps between said metallic terminals and said resin material after

Art Unit: 2855

integrally molding said resin material with said metallic terminals (abstract).

Regarding claims 17 and 20 Miyazaki et al teaches a semiconductor sensor 1 and therefor said signal processing circuit 1 are placed in an outer resin case 3, said outer resin case 3 being molded integrally with metallic lead members 4 for outputting a processed signal of said signal processing circuit 1, and the anaerobic adhesive 8 8a is filled in gaps between said metallic lead members and said resin material after integrally molding said outer resin case with said metallic lead members (abstract).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/500,873

Art Unit: 2855

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue

Page 4

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyazaki et al (JP 2001116639 A).

Regarding claims 16 and 19 Miyazaki et al teaches all the basic features of the claimed invention for example an adhesive material but does not teach a high permeability anaerobic adhesive is a polymethacrylic (acrylic) adhesive. However, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art of fabricating semi-conductors at the time the invention was made to modify the adhesive taught by Miyazaki et al to be a polymethacrylic (acrylic) adhesive, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker to select a known material on the basis of its intended use. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416. In this, particular case since Miyazaki et al teaches an adhesive, lacking any criticality a person having ordinary skill in the art would select the most optimum adhesive readily available to the manufacture after trial/error and undo-experimentation.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/500,873 Page 5

Art Unit: 2855

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre J. Allen whose telephone number is 571-272-2174. The examiner can normally be reached on mon-fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

André Allen Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2855